Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is an outrage! It is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. Furthermore, the media has no right to attempt to influence the election so directly.

Media giants serve themselves, not the people! Sinclair uses public airwaves free of charge. Therefore, Sinclair is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, viewers lose. Why? Because these huge media conglomerates serve the Almighty Dollar rather than the American public -and the American public loses its most powerful -- and often its only -watchdog. This simple case --Sinclair forcing its affiliates to show what amounts to a free, 90-minute Bush campaign ad -demonstrates just how greedy these media conglomerates are for power and, in consequence, how deeply our democracy suffers. Remember: bottom line-driven greed fueled a disaster in Minot,

South Dakota, where local authorities could not gain access to radio stations to warn residents because ClearChannel's monopoly was running all the stations by remote control. Interestingly, ClearChannel is also very vocal in its pro-Bush, pro-media-consolidation, deregulation politics. When media conglomerates like Sinclair and ClearChannel promote candidates in order to put their financial interests above the public's best interests, it is a perversion of the public's airwaves. The public deserves to hear from all sides, not just the pro-business side. The public also deserves unimpeded access to local news and interests on their local media.

Sinclair's (and ClearChannel's) actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. The American public deserves a voice in directing the use of its airwaves!